1. Approval of Agenda & Minutes and Announcements
   a. Approval of agenda: Miten motions to approve the agenda. Jonica seconded the motion. Motion carries by consensus.
   b. Approval of minutes: Miten motions to approve the agenda. Jonica seconded the motion. Motion carries by consensus.
   c. Announcements
      i. John reports that the Meal Plan flexi-plan is underway. Students can donate food at any of the bins around campus or can donate meals via online tool or at a dining hall.
      ii. Feedback on SAGE. Aykezar asks if there is any feedback on the SAGE proposal? Julie asks if there is any feedback on whether to conduct a campus referendum for SAGE? A referendum such as this would be spearheaded by SUA VPE; the fee would be $4-$6 per student per quarter.
         1. Jonica says that she would be supportive of a referendum for this purpose; Jonica says that she may have a different stance because she does utilize UCSA services.
         2. Whitney has concerns regarding transparency and opting out.
         3. David would want more students to know about it.
         4. Aykezar says that if a referendum is run, she would be fine with that. But she doesn’t feel comfortable saying that SFAC supports this because there hasn’t been broad consultation.
         5. Carolyn says that this is a topic that everyone needs to hear about and be able to comment on.
         6. Grace prefers that this be a referendum so there is opportunity for students to learn more about the initiative, as opposed to an imposed fee.
         7. Alice says that a referendum would provide students the opportunity to educate themselves and learn more about what UCSA does. But she does not believe that SFAC should support.
         8. Chandler agrees with what others have said.
         9. Miten’s concerns are related to not knowing what the opt out option would be.
         10. Julie isn’t sure how she feels.
         11. Aykezar summarizes that from the discussion, a referendum seems like a good idea, but not something that SFAC would necessarily endorse or support. Julie asks for clarification, that SFAC is more supportive of
   d. Office hour report backs are due Monday, November 30th

2. OPERS Facilities Consultation
   a. Dustin Smucker, Director of Recreation and Todd Hammonds, Facilities Supervisor are visiting today to provide an update on the first floor project at OPERS.
   b. Dustin asks whether anyone has participated in a Recreation program? Some hands are raised. About 4,000 students per year participate in Recreation. Currently, the outdoor rental space is on
the second floor of the East Field House. This space serves customers that come in to rent equipment, and also all of the recreation sponsored programs.

c. Primary purpose of recreation is to provide students with engagement opportunities.

d. Outdoor rental was built in 1986; the rental space was placed where it stands today. In 1986 there were 7800 students enrolled at UCSC, about half of the enrollment today. The space is cramped, hidden from view, and not easily accessible. He proposal is to relocate the space into a larger space that is prominently located on the first floor, that is in the flow of traffic. The space would replace the blue lockers.

e. The resulting space would be 675 square feet, about double the existing space. There would also be a lounge, multiple use space available (about 1/3 of the space). Intention of lounge is for students to able to “plug in”, get work done before or after a workout, etc. This could also be used as a meeting space. Up to groups of thirty could be accommodated in the lounge space. A survey of OPERS supervisors rendered a finding that about 20,000 students could use the space annually.

f. Dustin says that he has submitted a proposal to SFAC to fund furniture and equipment for the lounge space. There is option 1, which is more high tech. Option 2 is basic meeting space with little technology.

g. Relocation project began in February 2014 when SFAC voted yes to support costs for a feasibility study. $26,000 was approved for the study. Since then, OPERS has been working with planners and architects on what the space could look like. In August, the costs for the project were completed.

h. In September, the campus agreed to pay $62,000 to update the walk way outside of main entrance to OPERS, where tree roots are kicking up the concrete.

i. Project estimate came in at $450,000, minus $62,000 for the courtyard project. Cost is $388,000.

j. Dustin explains that the project manager on this project typically builds in contingencies into project budgets, to help mitigate going over budget.

k. Questions

i. Whitney asks whether feasibility studies are completed in house or with an outside service? Dustin says this was completed in house.

ii. Lucy asks about the lockers. Todd responds that there are about 2500 lockers and only about 100 are used on a regular basis. Dustin adds that the space is key and is currently underutilized.

iii. Grace asks whether OPERS has asked students about the use of the lounge. Todd says that they have consulted their student employees and the response is favorable. Also, on the second floor of the same building

l. Dustin says that currently there are $3million in the SLF reserve account where these funds would come from. There are two other major projects in the pipeline, including a pool filtration system.

m. Julie asks whether OPERS has consulted with SUGB regarding accessing SLF reserves, which are shared with SUGB?

n. Whitney asks if this project was being considered ten years ago, would the same fund source be used. Todd says yes.

o. Dustin provides some additional feedback regarding the lounge. Aykezar says that SFAC can take this back to their constituents to obtain feedback.

p. Julie adds that the lounge space would probably be used by student organizations that are affiliated through OPERS as meeting space.

3. Review of Funding Proposals

a. 540 Slug Orientation

i. Aykezar takes a straw poll on the first proposal for 540 Slug Orientation;
ii. David says that this is a great program. It provides a space for undocumented students to form community prior to beginning school. He would like to see this proposal funded.

iii. Alice adds that this program has been a great resource to students who have participated, and because UCOP funding is ending, it would be great to see the funding expanded.

iv. Carolyn asks a clarifying question – this program is for a summer orientation program. David responds yes. **Carolyn asks: how are participants identified?** Aykezar summarizes from the proposal that it appears it is an application process to select participants.

v. Miten says that he feels strongly about this proposal. **Miten asks if 60 is enough?** David responds that there were 120 incoming this past fall.

vi. Miten asks for clarification on what our process is today? Aykezar says that today there will be proposals to earmark funds as a starting point.

vii. David says that this proposal would take a consider amount of the overall budget that we have available, $656,000. One year is $33,000 in funding.

viii. Alice asks whether other forms of funding have been pursued? David responds that the funding would have to come from EOP’s budget.

ix. Free explains that SFAC funded two years ago at $24,000. Then UCOP funds were used.

x. Alice asks about the rates in the summer for housing?

xi. Miten summarizes that it seems that at the very least, we would fund at least one year and if we have more money then we will fund additional years. Miten moves to fund one year. Chandler asks whether it’s typical for SFAC not to fully fund a proposal? The response is yes. Miten clarifies that he would lock in one year, and then depending on what funds we have left over we would consider a second year and third year. Chandler seconds the motion. Any objections to this motion? No objections, motion passes.

xii. **Outcome: $33,000 ear marked for one year of funding; year 2 and year 3 to be reconsidered after all proposals are discussed.**

b. **CAPS Peer Education Program**

i. Request is for $22,600 for the peer education program, including stipend for each peer advisor, food and outreach supplies, mental health programming

ii. Carolyn says that she likes this request and that CAPS does a lot of good work on this campus. There are a lot of efforts to ensure students are supported.

iii. Straw poll – mostly in favor so discussion continues

iv. Aykezar says that the proposal was well thought out and concise. CAPS is at limited resources so it would be helpful

v. Whitney adds that CAPS was one of the highest priority items noted in the survey responses.


vii. Discussion regarding requesting that one of the peer advisers be a graduate student.

viii. **Outcome: $22,600 ear marked to fully fund the proposal.**

C. **Cantu Queer Center**

i. $103,000 in funding for CUIP Interns, Campus wide promotional materials, events, meetings, peer educator training. Expenses for one year $45,000

ii. Julie says that she liked this proposal; she was uncertain about the amount of funds that would be used on promotional material. She also has concerns about funding the year end thank you event.

iii. Miten feels strongly about funding this proposal.

iv. Carolyn is confused by the budget and what the various case scenarios mean.
v. Alice makes a comment about the Bay Tree conference room fees.
vi. Alice is confused about plan A and plan B. Plan B states that an alternate method is that the peer education portion is not funded.
vii. Aykezar asks if there are any motions? Discussion regarding funding from Chancellor’s office. Aykezar proposes that we wait to hear whether Cantu Center receives funding for CUIP before voting. Miten suggests that we do ear mark an amount, especially how highly this proposal was rated by members. Miten motions to ear mark one year of funding at the minimum level $30,868.80. Chandler seconds the motion. Any more discussion? No. Any objections? No. Motion passes.

viii. **Outcome:** $30,868.80 ear marked to fund one year at minimum level.

d. AARCC

i. Alice says that she gave this proposal a very high score. She says that the problem statement was well articulated, regarding campus climate for black students, and she would say that the sentiment is echoed at Cowell.

ii. Miten agrees that this proposal is important based on the number of students served.

iii. Julie states that she gave this proposal a high score, and appreciated that data was used specific to our campus.

iv. David says that this proposal is important, and this would be a good way to support black students and support retention of black students at UCSC.

v. David motions to fund the full proposal. Jonica seconds the motion. Any objections? No. Motion carries.

vi. **Outcome:** $27,702.80 ear marked to fully fund.

e. TAPS Disability Van Services & Nigh Shuttle/UCPD Saferide

i. Aykezar takes a straw poll given that we are almost at the end of the meeting time. Result is to table this item to next week’s meeting.

4. Meeting adjourned at 10:40am.