1. Approval of agenda, minutes, announcements
   a. Chandler motions to approve today’s agenda and 2/19 minutes. Jonica seconds the motion. No objections. Motion passes.
   b. Announcements
      i. Serene shares that SUA is talking about the SUGB referendum and there seems to be misinformation.
      ii. Jonica reports that Oakes, College 8 and KZSC are presenting a music performance today between 4 and 6 pm on the College 8 upper lawn.
      iii. Alice shares that at Senate last night, she learned that SUA is not sponsoring SLF due to lack of return to aid.
   c. Sub-Committee Updates
      i. Ayke shares that internal committee is developing a list of people to invite to spring quarter meetings for presentation on how funding has been used. Please turn in accountability reports asap.
      ii. Alice shares that external committee is updating Facebook page on a weekly basis. Also, external is drafting a letter to all students about fees and funding.
   d. Ayke announces that everyone should be on the lookout for a call for spring quarter schedules for the purpose of setting a regular meeting time for spring quarter.

2. CSF Meeting Report
   a. Ayke reports that last weekend, she, Rachel and Grace attended the CSF meeting.
   b. Ayke has shared a document with everyone with various CSF resources such as a brochure template and voter guide template.
   c. A huge topic at the meeting was the SAGE proposal from UCSA. UCSA is proposing a new method of funding itself where each student would pay a fee (instead of current practice which is that each campus pays dues to UCSA). There was a lot of discussion on this item. UCSA asked CSF to endorse the idea. The outcome is that CSF did not have enough information to form an opinion. Areas of development include more student outreach and consultation. Grace adds that there were a lot of questions and need for more information. The fee would be an opt out fee but the implementation mechanism has not been articulated.
   d. Ayke shares that CSF also reviewed its standing policies. Ayke shared all standing policies with SFAC. Ayke would like to ask each person to review one standing policy and be ready to report a summary next week.
      i. Ayke - #1
      ii. David - #2
      iii. Serene #3
      iv. Jonica #4
      v. Grace #5
      vi. Alice #6
      vii. Ben #7
      viii. Chandler #8
e. At CSF, there was discussion about outreach and town halls. Some campuses have a lot of success via social media. Having food is always a great idea. Suggestion of mini-town halls among each college.
f. Next meeting will be at UCSF in spring quarter on Mary 21st & 22nd

3. Campus Based Fees
a. Today we are going to break into small groups to develop opinion statements for each fee.
   i. PE: No-stance
   ii. SLF: No-stance with information about pros and cons
   iii. SUGB – Yes stance
b. Alice shares that her Senate had a good discussion on SLF Amendment last night. She shares that the language was not very clear; concern for mis-management of OPERS and how debt has developed over the last few years under new management. Concern that no return to aid is included.
c. Groups convene
d. Proposed statements:
   i. SUGB: SFAC supports this fee because it is vital for student life.
      1. Discussion regarding the use of “vital.” Other suggestions: integral, essential, fundamental.
      2. Suggestion: SFAC is in support of this proposal as the facilities are is fundamental for student life.
      a. Serene moves to approve this statement. Alice seconds. Vote: 9-Yes. 0-No. 0-Abstentions.
   ii. SLF: Discussion regarding proposed language. Final Statement: The Student Fee Advisory Committee (SFAC) has elected not to advocate for or against this proposal. The committee believes that OPERS operations are essential to campus life and student well-being. However, in our solicitation of feedback from the student body, concerns arose about ambiguity in the referendum language. The proposal also results in an overall increase in student fees, and given the proportion of requested funds designated to operations, maintenance, and professional staff salary—as opposed to the direct support of student activities—the committee was concerned that justification for the increase may not be adequate.
      1. Motion from Chandler to pass statement. Jonica seconds the motion. Vote: 9-Yes. 0-No. 0-Abstention.
   iii. PE: The Student Fee Advisory Committee does not take a position on this proposal. The committee fully understands the benefits of physical education for students. However, the committee has concerns with the fee increase in proportion to the amount of services being provided by the Physical Education department.
      1. Jonica motions to pass the language. Alice seconds the motion. Vote: 9-Yes. 0-No. 0-Abstention.

debrief of Funding Process
a. David reports that what didn’t work was the technology requests. For future, we should specify that all tech requests should include specs for the systems requested as well as specs on the systems that need to be re-placed, etc.
b. Serene reports that it was helpful how we combined the DRC proposals. We should document how we voted on certain things, especially specialized requests such as requests for instruction, projects off campus, etc. Some coordination could be realized for departments that submit multiple proposals.
c. Add category to the application that specifies what kind of proposal it is.
d. Suggestion: add a question regarding a proposal is collaborative with another unit
   e. Alice suggests that units be advised to coordinate and collapse proposals when appropriate.
f. Lucy suggests that a sub-committee could be established to do a cursory review of the proposals to categorize, organize for approach for discussion, etc.

g. David suggests that the issue with redundancy was most obvious with DRC; PD for instance had multiple proposals but each proposal was for a specific project. DRC had cross over on the content.

h. Jonica shares that the space functions really well, especially related to student interests. Jonica says that she remembers feeling intimidated coming in here last year, but she has always felt comfortable here. Jonica shares that she started later in the year and missed the orientation at the beginning of the year. Jonica says that sometimes it’s difficult for her to reconcile discussion of what we want to fund and what we can’t fund.

i. Grace says that we worked well together this year. We should establish guidelines for things that student fees shouldn’t go to. Grace suggests that members take notes on their ratings to help recall why things are rated a certain way.

j. Alice says that she noticed that many of our decisions are based on past precedent; for the future of the committee, is there a way to have a record of that. Lucy asks whether it would be helpful to take Free’s spreadsheet and add a column where we add notes on decision making, pulling content from the minutes.

k. Ben shares that he looks forward to further engaging with the committee.

l. John shares that we developed a ranking system a few years ago to help with organization; maybe we could move to the categories. We should continue to develop principles around what should be funded by student fees.

m. Julie suggests that the application should include a question about whether the organization is successful. She states that she did not like the grading system. Lucy suggests going back to other system which was a Likert scale.

n. Continue discussion next time.

5. Adjournment.