Student Fee Advisory Council  
Meeting Minutes  
October 24, 2016

Present: Alice Malmberg, Chandler Moeller, Ben Leeds Carson, Jessica Xu, Cathy Thomas, Lucy Rojas, Sabina Wildman, Aaron Manzano, Grace Shefcik, Sylvia Grape

1. Approval of Agenda, Minutes, Announcements
   a. Sabina moves to approve the motion; Aaron seconds. Motion carries by consensus.
   b. Chandler moves to approve the motion; Sylvia seconds the motion. Motion carries by consensus.
   c. Ben reports that tonight at 6pm is the last Kresge project town hall. Please come and provide input, hear from the architects, etc. This is the last opportunity. Tonight’s presentation touches on Private-Public-Partnerships.

2. Debrief of CSF
   a. CSF was hosted here at UCSC on October 22nd and 23rd. The meeting was attended by all campuses.
   b. Campaigns
      i. Food security
         1. Goals include: Global Food Initiative funding. Lucy suggests inviting Tim and Nancy to come and present to SFAC. Alice responds affirmatively.
         2. Funding comes from Office of the President
      ii. System-wide referenda: for example, UCSA could pass a referendum system-wide. This would allow proposals such as SAGE to pass. For future, some are considering a fee such as $15 per quarter to fund UCSA through this mechanism.
   c. New MOU signed with UCSA for CSF support and functions.
   d. Alice mentions that at other campuses, SFAC members serve two or three year terms and there is continuity for the committee and participation on student fees. Alice suggests that we consider adopting three year terms; this would help our committee’s participation in CSF. Alice is interested in exploring this further.
   e. Questions:
      i. Cathy asks for more information about the SAGE proposals. Alice responds that currently UCSA is funded by a contribution from each campus student government. SAGE would implement a student fee where students are charged directly for participation in UCSA. Student Advocacy Government Engagement. Lucy cautions that there needs to be good collaboration with each campus Registrar’s office, Student Business Services, etc. to ensure consistent application of the opt-out option for the fee.
   f. Currently, UC Davis does not participate in UCSA. Chandler shares that UCLA also is considering pulling out of UCSA. Santa Barbara pays the highest amount into UCSA.
   g. Alice mentions that she and Chandler have been invited to participate in the Course Fee committee process.
   h. ACTION: Copy of OP’s Budget
      i. Seismic Fee: CSF recommended that SFAC learn more about the seismic fee at our campus and how it was imposed, etc. Sabina mentions that she has questions regarding the fee reserves. Alice suggests that when the seismic fee presentation happens on November 3rd, we should ask for a presentation on the fee balances.

3. Campus Life Restructuring Consultation
   a. Lucy provides overview of the project
   b. Which model is most cost effective? Lucy responds
   c. Sabina asks about whether BAS is student centered?
i. Health Services should not be under BAS; much more about health services and student success. For example, CAPS is key to students, we need to think about expanding services. Beneficial to be under Student Success. OPERS can go either way.

d. Alice should not be under BAS; If CAPS and DRC were under same division, there would be service improvement. CAPS needs to focus on improved services to students.

e. Ben: Dean of Students under Student Success would help it have a more distinctive function. Stronger connection between DOS type mission and academic mission.

f. Cathy asks about who audits topics such as class size? Non-optimal class sizes.

g. Student Success should be thinking in an integrated way.

h. Lucy will send the org charts and talking points so SFAC members can do further consultation with constituents.

4. Sub-Committees
   a. Voting for sub-committee chairs will occur next week.

5. Adjournment