Student Fee Advisory Committee
Meeting Minutes
May 12, 2017

Present: Alice Malmberg, Suini Torres, Amanda Kazden, Ben Leeds Carson, Sabina Wildman, Lisa Bishop, John Steele, Regina Gomez, Gina Tu, Ashley John, Aaron Manzano, Grace Shefcik, Lucy Rojas

Guests: Kimberly Register, Director, Planning and Budget and Sue Matthews, Associate Vice Chancellor, Colleges Housing and Education Services.

1. Approval of Agenda, Minutes, Announcements
   a. Gina motions to approve the agenda; Regina seconds the motion. Motion carries.
   b. Ashley motions to approve the minutes; Gina seconds the motion. Motion carries
   c. Aaron shares that the Rock and Roll on the Knoll is tomorrow.
   d. Alice shares that tonight is Queer Prom. Elections begin next week; there is a debate on Thursday.
   e. Grace shares that there is a sexual violence training coming to campus for feedback. They will give $20 gift cards to Amazon for feedback.
   f. Alice says that we need to ask SCOC to appoint a new Cowell rep.
   g. Alice says that Director of CAPS was not able to come today.

2. Campus Elections
   a. Alice asks if there are any ideas on outreach for elections.
   b. Aaron says that internal committee will meet next week and will add to their agenda. He suggests that SFAC plug into the Dean of Students existing tabling plan. Everyone can help.

3. Review of Funding Recommendations Draft Letter and Final Recommendations Spreadsheet
   a. There is some final discussion about the funding recommendations. Lisa asks which proposals should be funded through Measure 7 and SSF. Measure 7 cannot be used for tuition so she went ahead and swapped the fund type of Career Center funding.
   b. Lisa explains that we need to obtain foapals for all of these departments and student groups and she will ask Lucy to assist her.
   c. The group reviews the draft of the memorandum. There are no comments. The draft memorandum is adopted.
   d. Alice confirms we are officially finished with this process.

4. P3 Presentation
   a. Guest: Sue Matthews, Associate Vice Chancellor for Colleges
   b. Needs for P3 project driven by: increase in number of students, increase in need for space, needs for space renovation, limits of debt capacity
   c. Presidential Napolitano launched an initiative called P3 – Private Public Partnership.
   d. Sue explains that a few years ago, there was an assessment to determine – what we can build with our $300 million available financing capacity? What can we self-finance and what should be deferred to the P3. P3 allows more capacity to build more.
   e. The campus has about $50 million to attend to Kresge academic space. Dining facilities will be expanded at Rachel Carson and Kresge.
   f. P3 location is south of Kresge College, from the parking lot, south of there down through the meadow and down through Family Student Housing. The topography is difficult, such as caves, etc. that limit where we can build. Family Student Housing will probably be re-built.
   g. Adding 2600 beds for undergraduates. Families and graduate student spaces as well, for a total of 3000 beds.
h. Process: there is a system-wide initiative, then a national call. 8 firms expressed interest in bidding on these projects across the system. We submitted basic parameters for the P3 project. All 8 firms submitted proposals. Firms include a team of architects, designers, landscape architects, etc. Three firms have been identified, that will receive an invitation to submit a more in-depth proposal. The university will provide our parameters on things like sustainability, safety, etc., and the firms will have the opportunity to provide ideas for design.

i. On Tuesday night there will be an open form. There will be two panel sessions with the three development firms; students will be invited to participate. Sue explains that she has sent a request to SCOC for an undergrad rep, there will be a grad rep appointed by the GSA. Alice has been invited as chair of SFAC. In additional, Sue will ask that a representative from Family Student Housing be appointed.

j. Sue explains that there is a committee that has been formed for the Kresge project; Ben is participating in this committee. Committee will be meeting on May 21st. The timing of this project is unknown, but it is a priority.

k. Westside project challenge: Family Student Housing electrical is served by PG&E and there is no wi-fi—infrastructure will be required to be brought in.

l. Sue explains that in the agreement, the campus has agreed to build west before it builds north.

m. Questions:
   i. Ben explains that P3 may not an effective model for an integrated space with academic, housing, programming, etc. P3 depends on the local administration to determine what the nature of the agreement is with the private developer. A hallmark if UCSC in comparison to other schools in our system, students are likely to pick UCSC to have a greater sense of autonomy, expectation of higher standards of cultivation and concern for community. Big question mark around P3, possibility that we would have new unaffiliated housing with a different relationship between housing/community than our current experience. What kind of relationships are we asking for?
      1. Response: the developer organizes and finances the project. Once the project is built, a non-profit organization is created that leases the land from the university. Ideally, we would have a seamless student experience. We have put in the proposal request, that we want our own residential life program, do our own housing assignments, safety and security. There is a question about grounds and custodial services. The non-profit would own the facility, the developer company would have presence of a manager to oversee capital projects, etc. because they have the responsibility to maintain the buildings. This relationship would go on for 30-40 years, then the university would own the building.
   ii. Lisa asks whether there will be student fee funded facilities or academic buildings? Sue says that there will be some community gathering spaces but there will be no academic facilities. There will be a lot of single rooms, and also doubles and triples. There is a push for juniors and seniors that want to live on campus.
   iii. Sabina explains that the lack of communal space has put pressure on RAs. Sabina explains that the experience at the Village is different than a college for instance. Sabina expresses that it’s important to be transparent in terms of where funding is coming from and how decisions are made. Sabina adds the importance of paying attention to labor issues.
   iv. Alice explains that her college Senate had a few questions: will the Redwood Grove apartments be impacted? Sue responds that there is no current conversation about that. Another question: Camper Park, what is the update on process to swap out trailers for university owned trailers.
Sue responds that the project is moving forward and there are about 10 campers that are student owned that have been grandfathered in. Camper Park is not in master plan for major changes, but they will look at improvements like internet. Question: what does the LRDP in current form say about how many students can affiliate at Kresge during the project. Sue responds that Kresge will continue to affiliate students. New housing will be built first before any renovations/rebuild happen to existing buildings.

v. Sue explains that there will be opportunities to develop social spaces within housing communities.

vi. Alice explains that last month the CSF meeting took place at OP. The UCOP CFO visited with CSF and suggested that an important item to negotiate in P3 contracts, is the cost of housing when it opens and what kinds of increases would occur. Sue responds that affordability is a huge part of this initiative. Sue explains that the proposals will require that the rates cannot be higher than the university rates. Sue explains that the beds in the new spaces would not have meal plans. Sue explains that her team is considering the financial implications of requiring meal plans; this may go away for apartment dwellers.

vii. Ashley asks when will lounges be returned? Sue explains that by 2020, we expect 900 beds, at which time pressure would be alleviated from existing housing. Sue explains that there is attrition throughout the year so

viii. John asks about Provost houses. Sue explains that Rachel Carson, College 9, and College 10 do not have Provost houses. There is no intention of undoing the colleges. If we continue to grow, the question needs to be asked: are we going to College 11 and 12 and are we adding academic spaces?

ix. Sabina asks if expanded dining at Kresge and Rachel Carson would be Dining operated? Sue responds yes. Sabina asks about access to food if students are not required to have meal plans.

Sue

x. Ben says that during the vetting process at Kresge last year, the project prioritized aspects of student life. Co-operative or student-directed space was listed as a priority.

xi. Grace asks in drafting the new LRDP plan, is there pressure from the City to maintain the Settlement Agreement. Sue says yes, however, how possible is it for us to build a bed for every new student. Sue is confident this will be an issue discussed in the new LRDP.

xii. Sabina asks about themed housing in the P3 space. Sue responds that residential life will be maintained by university practices and standards.

xiii. Sabina mentions the Porter Cave area. Will that be filled in? Sue says no, that is protected reserve area.

xiv. Lisa asks about how students will apply for housing, pay their bills, etc. Sue said that the University will require that we maintain our practices so that there is key connection to

tax. Gina asks how college affiliation will work in the new housing? Sue said that this will not necessarily be first-year affiliation. This will be mixed affiliation like at the Village.

xvi. John asks whether there will new access point on West side of campus. Sue says no. It’s unlikely.

xvii. Sabina asks about parking. Sue responds that parking will be included in the project.

xviii. Lisa asks whether there are any environmental issues expected with the project? Sue says, yes – species, water, etc.

n. Sue invites everyone to the session on Tuesday, May 16th. Location is 9/10 MPR 4:30 – 6:00 pm. On May 24th, there will be two panels to give feedback to the three firms.
o. Sue says that she is happy to come back any time; please invite her to a College Senate meeting. Alice will forward everyone Sue’s contact information.

5. Preparation for Campus Elections Tabling
   a. Discussion on what messaging to include in tabling about the referendum.
      i. Measure 67:
         1. Accountability of SUA
         2. Retention of Reps
         3. Tracking money?
         4. Not all funds currently being used
         5. Support food pantry and legacy project
      ii. Measure 68:
          1. Financial sustainability of program: concern of future of Athletics
      iii. Further discussion – external committee is meeting on Monday.

6. Aaron motions to adjourn. Gina seconds the motion. Motion passes.