1. Approval of Agenda, Minutes, and Announcements  
   a. Gina moves to approve the agenda. Aaron seconds the motion. Motion carries.  
   b. Kiryl moves to approve the February 17th minutes. Gina seconds the motion. Motion carries.  
   c. Announcements  
      i. Aaron shares that last week Cathy had asked if Scientific Slug does e-publishing and the answer is yes.  
      ii. Rachel Carson College is hosting West Fest today from 4:00 – 8:00 pm  
      iii. John will not be able to attend next week; he is fine with someone serving as his proxy.

2. Athletics Referendum  
   a. John explains that OPERS is part of his portfolio and is happy to recuse himself from the discussion.  
   b. Aaron shares that Stevenson is in favor of the proposed fee increase, as sports are important to the campus. There was discussion about how OPERS doesn’t advertise its events very well.  
   c. Kiryl mentions that College 9 is supportive as this is the best option that Athletics has put forward. However, the college is uncomfortable about using student fees for investments  
   d. Rachel Carson wants to abstain; the investment was not addressed in the referendum and her college also finds the investment as an issue of transparency.  
   e. Sabina explains that from Crown there were mixed reactions. It does seem reasonable for students to fund activities such as sports. Generally, people are not excited about fee increases. She will probably abstain because of the mixed reactions.  
   f. Suini mentions that there were mixed reactions from her community because of the inclusion of EOP. Generally students at Oakes are not supportive of Athletics. General sentiment is to abstain.  
   g. Gina adds that there are concerns about the lack of specificity about the review of the process to retire the fee.  
   h. Amanda shares that generally, Porter was supportive. There was some confusion but no opposition.  
   i. Sabina says that her community liked that there was some thought about a long term plan.  
   j. Ben asks whether anyone was able to focus discussion in their spaces about whether and how this proposal serves the student body? Is programming for Athletes or for everyone? Gina responds that 300 students participate in Athletics, plus some students who participate as spectators. It’s not a high number of students who benefit. There is definitely a high impact to those who are Athletes, but not necessarily to the community. There is a lack of advertising about games. Lisa mentions that there are other fees who serve a small number of students. Ben responds that there could very well be contributions that Athletics makes to the community. Sabina mentions that participation in Athletics supports the extracurricular experience, health and wellness, etc. Sabina raises the question: do we want to have a campus without competitive athletics?  
   k. Aaron says that if the referendum does not pass, we will still have Sports Clubs.  
   l. Sabina asks what will happen to performance groups such as cheer team and dance team who perform at athletics events. Will they no longer have a purpose? Suini responds that she thinks that there are other opportunities for these groups to be engaged in other activities.  
   m. Chandler calls for a straw poll.  
      i. In support: 3
ii. Not support: 0
iii. Abstain: 8

n. Statement
   i. Student Fee Advisory Committee has chosen to abstain from comment on this referenda.
   ii. Kiryl motions to accept language as is. Suini seconds the motion. Any objections? No. Motion carries.

3. Funding Proposal Review
   a. Building the Future with Professional Development
      i. Straw poll to maintain proposal for future discussion: Proposal will be maintained for discussion.
         Move to discussion.
      ii. Cathy shares that she thinks this is an important idea to bridge events with alumni. This is specific to a community and seems to have benefits to a particular community.
      iii. Aaron shares that it seems like a good event, but the proposal states that it will help fund other events so he is not sure if the proposal is contained to the event that is specified.
      iv. Kiryl mentions that there was a lack of reference to a venue. Sabina adds that there was no date mentioned.
      v. Sabina adds that there is a focus on food costs but it’s dinner and that could be part of the networking.
      vi. Chandler moves to maintain the proposal for future discussion. Aaron seconds the motion. Motion carries.
   b. CampusLogic FAFSA Verification Software
      i. Straw poll: consensus is to maintain the proposal for future discussion.
      ii. Gina states that this proposal mentions that SFAC is the only funding option and therefore, the project will only happen if we fund it, however it is 1/6 of our overall available funding.
      iii. Sylvia comments that the proposal seems to offer the possibility of an improved process that will benefit students. Kiryl and Sabina agree. Sylvia says that we should also consider the impact to students who do receive financial aid.
      iv. John says that the proposal is important, but why is SFAC the only source for the project?
      v. Cathy reminds the group that this was a time sensitive matter because there was an opportunity to go in on a system-wide contract. She would have liked to see a demo and a list of other possible fund sources.
      vi. Sabina proposes the idea of offering a portion of the funding that is requested.
   c. UC Santa Cruz Holi Festival 2017
      i. Straw poll: unanimous to maintain the proposal for future discussion.
   d. Student Videographer to Increase On-Line Resources for Students
      i. Straw poll: unanimous to remove from discussion
   e. Indoor Cycling Bicycles for FitLife Group Exercise Program
      i. Straw poll: unanimous to remove from discussion
   f. Extrav(M)aganza
      i. Straw poll: spend time discussing the proposal
      ii. Sylvia says that written publications are important and the request is not for very much.
      iii. Cathy says that she teaches creative writing and sees a program like this as very important. There are five student-run newspapers on campus.
      iv. Sabina adds that it’s important to have student spaces that provide creative opportunities for students.
      v. Proposal will be maintained for future discussion
g. Career Workshop Fund
   i. Straw poll: spend time discussing the proposal, unanimous.

h. Mental Health First Aid Training
   i. Straw poll: discussion
      1. Aaron states that he doesn’t understand what is being asked for. Gina responds that
         they are asking for an instructor. Sylvia says that they wanted to keep it free for
         students.
      2. Sabina adds that participants receive a manual that can be used as for future reference.
      3. Kiryl says that there are issues with the proposal but he supports keeping it in for future
         discussion.

i. Chicano Latino Resource Center Undergraduate Research Opportunities
   i. Straw poll: discussion
      1. Gina states that the request is for $27,000 for one research position; there seems like
         better uses for this kind of position.
      2. Kiryl states that there was a lack of a research goal; there was mention of research of
         existing data.
      3. Sabina adds that in the student section, it mentions student success. It will provide
         research opportunities and help underserved students.
      4. Cathy mentions that there has been discussion in Humanities and Social Sciences about
         promoting students of color in these disciplines.
      5. We will maintain for future discussion.

j. Graduate Student Commons Proposal for SFAC Funding 2017
   i. Straw poll: discussion
      1. Aaron says that of all of the graduate student proposals, this seems most critical in
         terms of maintaining a physical space.
      2. John says that the building is fee funded and also funded by their contract with Iveta.
      3. Cathy mentions there was a loss because the restaurant was closed for several months
         and some unexpected.
      4. Sabina adds that the space is available for events and programs attended by the greater
         community, in addition to graduate students.
      5. We will maintain for future discussion.

k. AAPIRC Graduate Student Coordinator
   i. Straw poll: unanimous

l. Community Engaged, Social and Environmental Justice Clearing House and Web-Portal
   i. Straw poll: discussion
      1. Gina says that it seems like a large amount of money to create a website. Cathy agrees
         and mentions that there was a plan B mentioned that would cost considerably less.
      2. John says that his concern is that once you create something like this, it will need
         continued funding for maintenance.
      3. Aaron references the statement about ODEI being involved; this could happen down the
         road with other funding.
      4. We will maintain for future discussion.

4. Adjournment
   a. Chandler thanks everyone for their participation today.
   b. Welcome Ashley again.
   c. Gina motions to adjourn. Sylvia seconds the motion. Motion carries. Meeting adjourned at 2:04 pm.